MONITORING OF IWMP WATERSHED PROJECTS USING GEO-INFORMATION

SUMMARY REPORT

PRAKASAM -46/2011-12 Andhra Pradesh

Submitted to NRSC, Balanagar, Hyderabad January-2022

T 0 - T 1 - T 2 - T 3 - T 4 - T 5



AGRICULTURE & SOIL DIVISION Andhra Pradesh Space Applications Centre (APSAC) ITE&C Department Govt. of Andhra Pradesh



RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND WATERSHED MONITORING DIVISION Land Resources and Land Use Mapping and Monitoring Group, Remote Sensing Application Area, National Remote Sensing Centre, ISRO



DEPARTMENT OF LAND RESOURCES Ministry of Rural Development Government of India

$\textbf{C} \ \textbf{O} \ \textbf{N} \ \textbf{T} \ \textbf{E} \ \textbf{N} \ \textbf{T} \ \textbf{S}$

• EXECUTIVE SUMMARY


- 01. STUDY AREA
- **02**. SATELLITE & ANCILLARY DATA INCLUDING DRISHTI STATUS
- 03. MONITORING IN THE PROJECT AREA : Site wise changes in the project
- 04. CONCLUSIONS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Integrated Watersheds Management Project (IWMP) is a flagship programme of Department of Land Resources (DoLR), Ministry of Rural Development (MRD).
- National Remote Sensing Centre (NRSC), ISRO has designed and developed Bhuvan Geo-ICT Web
 portal tools namely Srishti and Drishti for monitoring and evaluation of IWMP watersheds. It uses
 high spatial and temporal resolution sensors viz., Carto-1/2(2.5 m), LISS-IV(5.8 m color).
- Current summary report gives details of Project IWMP-46/2011-12, Prakasam District of Andhra Pradesh. The total geographical area of the project is **5,276** ha. It comprises of 11 micro watersheds.
- In the project area 202 Drishti photos were uploaded showing check dams, Farm ponds/Percolation tanks, Drainage treatment, Horticulture and others.
- Water bodies have shown an increased by 15 ha, which correspond to the other land use classes that have been converted into various water bodies in this period.
- Major percentage i.e. 74% is covered by the Agriculture, 16% is covered by scrubland, 3.5% covered by Plantation and remaining by other land use classes.

PROJECT : PRAKASAM - IWMP-46/2011-12 DISTRICT : PRAKASAM , STATE : ANDHRA PRADESH

• The study area falls in Konakanamitla Mandal of Prakasam district of Andhra Pradesh state. The total geographical area of the project is **5,276** ha. It comprises of 11 micro watersheds. Location Map of the study area is shown in Figure below Analysis is done for 2011-12 (T0) period (*Batch -1*) projects taking 2015-16 (T1) period satellite images.



- Project area witnesses tropical wet and dry climate characterized by year round high temperatures. Prakasam has a record of reaching more than 46°C.
- The average annual rainfall of the district is 798.6 mm, monthly rainfall ranges from nil in March to 182.9 mm in October. October is the wettest month of the year. Southwest monsoon contributes significant rainfall in southern part of the district and Northeast monsoon contributes more than 70% of the rainfall.
- December is the coldest month with normal mean maximum temperature of about 27.1°c and mean minimum temperature of 19.2°C. Temperature begins to rise after February. May is the hottest month with mean daily maximum temperature of about 36.1°C and the mean daily minimum temperature of about 27.7°C. During May and early June the maximum temperature rises occasionally to 46°C and with the onset of SW monsoon by about second week of June, temperature begins to drop rapidly.

Satellite Data and Ancillary Data

Satellite data*	T0-A**	T0-B**	Τ5
	2011-12	2012-13	2019-20
LISS IV	2011-12		
SCENE 1			28-Aug-19
SCENE2			
SCENE 3			
SCENE 4			
CARTO	2011-12		
SCENE 1			28-Aug-19
SCENE2			
SCENE 3			
SCENE 4			

Ancillary Data

	Category	Sub category	Status
1	Thematic maps		
	LULC (1: 10 000)		
		DRAIANGE	YES
		SETTLEMENT	YES
		ROADS/RAILS	No
	LULC (1: 50 000)		
		2005-06	
		2008-09	
2	Activity Plan Maps		
3	Drishti Photographs		
		Total	212
4	Detailed Project Report		

Natural Color Composite overlaid with Project boundaries and high detail stream network



Legend




Drainage (1:10000 Scale)

MWS Boundary



Project Boundary

Natural Color Composite overlaid with Drishti Points



Drishti Upload Status

Classification of the Activities


Sr. No	Activity	Drishti Photo	Visible on satellite
1	Afforestation	0	0
2	Horticulture	11	10
3	Agriculture	0	0
4	Pasture	0	0
5	Trench	0	0
6	Field Bunds	0	0
7	Terrace	0	0
8	Checks & Plugs	0	0
9	Gabion structure	0	0
10	Farm ponds	148	136
11	Check dams	23	18
12	Nallah Bunds	0	0
13	Percolation tanks / Ground water recharge structure	16	14
14	Production System and Micro-Enterprises	0	0
15	Livelihood Activities	0	0
16	Capacity Building Activities	0	0
17	Entry Point Activity	0	0
18	Others	24	24
	TOTAL	222	202


MONITORING IN THE PROJECT AREA

Site Wise Changes in the Project


- Impacts of the activities carried out are presented through combination of Drishti and Srishti captures
- T0 is the baseline period before implementation (2011-12) and T5 is 2019-20 period for monitoring
- Captures are also provided wherever changes are observed in satellite images, that may match expected activity related impact, even though they don't have Drishti report yet.

Natural Color Composite






Monitoring of activities in Prakasam District Andhra Pradesh. IWMP-46/2011-12



Monitoring of activities in Prakasam District Andhra Pradesh. IWMP-46/2011-12




MONITORING IN THE PROJECT AREA


Land use and Land cover Changes in the Project

- Change in land use and land cover form T0 to T5 are analyzed in terms of built up, mining/dump, agriculture, plantation- horticulture, forest, barren rocky waterbody-streams/river/reservoir and waterbody –ponds.
- Captures are also provided wherever changes are observed in satellite images, that may match expected activity related impact, even though they don't have Drishti report yet.
- The result obtained for the period T0 to T5 are given in the change matrix table.
- In matrix table column represents the pre implementation period as T0 (2011-12) and row represents the post implementation period as T5 (2019-20).


Comparative assessment of Land Use and Land Cover for Pre and Post IWMP implementation (2011-12 to 2015-16) Scale: 1:10000



Comparative assessment of Land Use and Land Cover for Pre and Post IWMP implementation (2015-16 to 2016-17) Scale: 1:10000




Comparative assessment of Land Use and Land Cover for Pre and Post IWMP implementation (2016-17 to 2017-18) Scale: 1:10000




14


Comparative assessment of Land Use and Land Cover for Pre and Post IWMP implementation (2017-18 to 2018-19) Scale: 1:10000




Comparative assessment of Land Use and Land Cover for Pre and Post IWMP implementation (2018-19 to 2019-20) Scale: 1:10000




Land Use and Land Cover changes for Pre and Post treatment dates




Land Use and Land Cover changes for Pre and Post treatment dates



Land Use and Land Cover changes for Pre and Post treatment dates





Land cover	Monitor	ing period	Units in Hectares							
то		Mining/ dump	Agriculture	Plantation Horticulture	Forest	Forest Plantation	Scrub	Waterbody- Streams/River	Water body Ponds	Grand Total
Built up	57.23									57.23
Mining/dump										
Agriculture	1.14		3157.49	143.42			1.47	13.46	0.48	3317.45
Plantation Horticulture			76.43	107.01						183.44
Forest										
Forest Plantation										
Barren Rocky										
Scrub			291.15				1250.99	4.15	0.11	1546.41
Waterbody- Streams/River								65.06		65.06
Waterbody – Ponds			7.49						99.14	106.63
Grand Total	58.37		3532.56	250.43			1252.46	82.67	99.73	5276.21

Table showing change matrix depicting Land cover transitions during study period-2011-12 to 2015-16

• In matrix table diagonal elements represent the both periods in the same class and off diagonal elements represents change in between the classes.

• In T0 158 ha of the agriculture area has decreased and it is converted into Built-up, plantation, scrubland and water body in T1.

• In T1 367 ha of the agriculture area has increased from plantations, scrubland and water body of TO.

• The additional agriculture are coming from waterbody in T1 represents seasonal agriculture.

Land cover	Monitor	ing period	Units in Hecta	Units in Hectares						
T1		Mining/ dump		Plantation Horticulture	Forest	Forest Plantation	Scrub	Waterbody- Streams/River	Water body Ponds	Grand Total
Built up	58.37									58.37
Mining/dump										
Agriculture	1.19		3497.05	30.04			1.17	,	3.11	3532.56
Plantation Horticulture			6.82	243.47					0.13	250.43
Forest										
Forest Plantation										
Barren Rocky										
Scrub	0.74		67.45				1178.74	0.62	4.90	1252.46
Waterbody- Streams/River								82.67		82.67
Waterbody – Ponds			0.05						99.68	99.73
Grand Total	60.31		3571.37	273.51			1179.92	83.28	107.82	5276.21

Table showing change matrix depicting Land cover transitions during study period-2015-16 to 2016-17

• In matrix table diagonal elements represent the both periods in the same class and off diagonal elements represents change in between the classes.

• In T1 34 ha of the agriculture area has decreased and it is converted into Built-up, plantation, scrubland and water body in

T2.

• In T2 74 ha of the agriculture area has increased from plantations of T1. The additional agriculture are coming from waterbody in T2 represents seasonal agriculture.

Land cover	Monitor	ing period	Units in Hectares							
T2		Mining/ dump		Plantation Horticulture	Forest	Forest Plantation		Waterbody- Streams/River	Water body Ponds	Grand Total
Built up	60.31									60.31
Mining/dump										
Agriculture	0.35		3562.26	8.76						3571.37
Plantation Horticulture			51.73	221.78						273.51
Forest										
Forest Plantation										
Barren Rocky										
Scrub	0.79		176.69				1002.43	5		1179.92
Waterbody- Streams/River								83.28		83.28
Waterbody – Ponds			4.90						102.92	107.82

Table showing change matrix depicting Land cover transitions during study period-2016-17 to 2017-18

• In matrix table diagonal elements represent the both periods in the same class and off diagonal elements represents change in between the classes.

1002.43

83.28

102.92

5276.21

- In T2 09 ha of the agriculture area has decreased and it is converted into Built-up and plantations in T3.
- In T3 228 ha of the agriculture area has increased from plantations, scrubland and water body of T2.

230.54

• The additional agriculture are coming from waterbody in T3 represents seasonal agriculture.

3795.58

Grand Total

61.45

Land cover	Monitor	ing period	Units in Hectares							
Т3		Mining/ dump		Plantation Horticulture	Forest	Forest Plantation		Waterbody- Streams/River	Water body Ponds	Grand Total
Built up	61.45									61.45
Mining/dump										
Agriculture	2.50		3774.42	16.90					1.76	3795.58
Plantation Horticulture			55.34	175.20						230.54
Forest										
Forest Plantation										
Barren Rocky										
Scrub	1.67		86.97				913.79			1002.43
Waterbody- Streams/River								83.28		83.28
Waterbody – Ponds			1.31						101.61	102.92
Grand Total	65.62		3918.04	192.10			913.79	83.28	103.37	5276.21

Table showing change matrix depicting Land cover transitions during study period-2017-18 to 2018-19

• In matrix table diagonal elements represent the both periods in the same class and off diagonal elements represents change in between the classes.

- In T3 21 ha of the agriculture area has decreased and it is converted into Built-up, plantations and water body in T4.
- In T4 142 ha of the agriculture area has increased from plantations, scrubland and water body of T3.
- The additional agriculture are coming from waterbody in T4 represents seasonal agriculture.

Table showing change matrix depicting Land cover transitions during study period-2018-19 to 2019-20

Land cover	Monitor	ing period	l (T5)			-	-	-	Units in Hecta	res
Т4		Mining/ dump		Plantation Horticulture	Forest	Forest Plantation	Scrub	Waterbody- Streams/River	Water body Ponds	Grand Total
Built up	65.62									65.62
Mining/dump										
Agriculture			3915.85	1.68					0.52	3918.04
Plantation Horticulture			7.45	184.65						192.10
Forest										
Forest Plantation										
Barren Rocky										
Scrub	0.17		23.75				889.41		0.47	913.79
Waterbody- Streams/River								83.28		83.28
Waterbody – Ponds									103.37	103.37
Grand Total	65.79		3947.04	186.33			889.41	83.28	104.36	5276.21

• In matrix table diagonal elements represent the both periods in the same class and off diagonal elements represents change in between the classes.

- •In T4 02 ha of the agriculture area has decreased and it is converted into Built-up and water body in T5.
- •In T5 31 ha of the agriculture area has increased from plantations and scrubland of T4.
- The additional agriculture are coming from waterbody in T5 represents seasonal agriculture.

Conclusion

- 1. DPR of the project is uploaded on to Bhuvan Portal.
- 2. The LULC shows that there is an increase in Crop land, Built up area, Reservoir / Tanks & decrease in Scrubland as presented in the change matrix for different years.
- There is an increase of 15 Hectares in Reservoir / Tanks area as compared between baseline LU/LC data 2011-12 (T0) & 2019-20 (T5) years.
- 4. There is an increase of 215, 38, 224, 122 & 29 Hectares from T1 to T2, T2-T3, T3 to T4 & T4-T5 respectively and overall increase of 629 Hectares in Crop land area as compared between baseline LU/LC data 2011-12 (T0) & 2019-20 (T5) years.
- There is an increase of 02 ha of the Plantation/Horticulture area has been increased between 2011-12 (T0)
 & 2019-20 (T5) years.
- 6. There is a decrease of 657 Hectares in Scrubland area as compared between 2011-12 (T0) & 2019-20 (T5) years.
- Farm ponds (148) is visible on IWMP Bhuvan Srishti portal out of Bhuvan Drishti photo of Farm ponds
 (136) verified from the portal.